## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT CHATTANOOGA

RICKY VAN COX,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
v.	)	NO. 1:05-CV-333
	)	
JACK MORGAN, Warden, and	)	
STATE OF TENNESSEE,	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	

## **MEMORANDUM and ORDER**

This *pro se* Tennessee prisoner, currently serving a life sentence for a first-degree-murder conviction, filed a petition pursuant to 28 U. S. C. § 2241, seeking to have a particular state court decision vacated and his state post-conviction case remanded for a full evidentiary hearing. The appropriate mode of relief for state prisoners to contest the legality of their sentence is to file an application for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, *Preiser v. Rodriguez*, 411 U.S. 475, 487 (1973), not under § 2241.

Therefore, because Petitioner did not indicate clearly that he wished to attack his conviction because the record did not disclose whether he was even eligible to pursue a § 2254 challenge, the Court directed the Clerk to mail him a preprinted form petition used to file § 2254 habeas corpus actions. The Court also gave the following warning:

If within thirty days from the date on this order, the Petitioner has not submitted a completed § 2254 application, this case will be **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

(Court File No. 3, Order of December 3, 2005).

Though it is well past the thirty-day time period established in the order, the

Petitioner has not submitted a completed habeas corpus petition under § 2254, nor otherwise

responded to the order. Accordingly, this case will be **DISMISSED** without prejudice by a separate

order.

The Petitioner's motion to appoint counsel is **DENIED** as **MOOT** (Court File No.

2).

Finally, in view of the foregoing discussion, the Petitioner is **DENIED** issuance of

a certificate of appealability because he has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a

constitutional right. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). This is because reasonable jurists would not find

the procedural ruling debatable or wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

SO ORDERED.

**ENTER:** 

/s/

CURTIS L. COLLIER
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2